

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/788,497	02/21/2001	Naoki Miyano	0717-0459P	6768
2292 75	03/18/2004		EXAM	INER
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			KIBLER, VIRGINIA M	
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2623	
			DATE MAILED: 03/18/2004	ک ،

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		mN			
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/788,497	MIYANO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Virginia M Kibler	2623			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	nth the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a oly within the statutory minimum of th I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).			
Status					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on 21 February 2001 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ e drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawir	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No In received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	y Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Art Unit: 2623

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Watkins et al. (6,597,807).

Regarding claim 1, Watkins et al. ("Watkins") discloses a right infrared camera and a left infrared camera, a right visible light camera and a left visible light camera (Figure 1; Col. 2, lines 13-43), and a first image synthesis processing device for synthesizing data output from the right infrared camera and the left infrared camera and data output from the right visible light camera and the left visible light camera so that a 3-D thermal image and a 3-D visible light image are observed by an observer as overlapping each other (Col. 2, lines 44-67; Abstract).

Regarding claim 7, Watkins discloses a second image synthesis processing device for synthesizing right infrared image data output from the right infrared camera and left infrared image data output from the left infrared camera so as to generate 3-D thermal image data, a third image synthesis processing device for synthesizing right visible light image data output from the right visible light camera and left visible light image data output from the left visible light camera so as to generate 3-D visible light image data, and a fourth image synthesis processing

Art Unit: 2623

device for synthesizing the 3-D thermal image data and the 3-D visible light image data so as to generate 3-D overall image data (Figure 1; Col. 2, lines 44-67; Col. 6, lines 39-46).

Regarding claim 8, Watkins discloses the 3-D thermal image data including a plurality of temperature levels, and a plurality of color tones respectively assigned to the plurality of temperature levels (Col. 6, lines 13-35; Figure 2d).

Regarding claim 9, Watkins discloses obtaining 3-D overall image data, thereby including 3-D coordinate data (Col. 2, lines 13-43). Watkins further discloses displaying the 3-D overall image on a monitor (Col. 2, lines 44-46; Figure 2), thereby converting it into 2-D by transforming the 3-D coordinate data into 2-D coordinate data projected on to a prescribed plane in a 3-D coordinate space.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2-4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watkins et al. (6,597,807) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Sogawa (6,396,946).

Regarding claim 2, Watkins discloses a second image synthesis processing device for synthesizing at least a portion of right infrared image data output from the right infrared camera and at least a portion of right visible light image data output from the right visible light camera

Art Unit: 2623

so as to generate right synthesis image data (Figure 1), a third image synthesis processing device for synthesizing at least a portion of left infrared image data output from the left infrared camera and at least a portion of left visible light image data output from the left visible light camera so as to generate a left synthesis image data (Figure 1), and a data output device for outputting the right synthesis image data and the left synthesis image data in a prescribed order (Col. 2, lines 13-43). Watkins does not appear to explicitly state a synchronous signal generator for generating a synchronous signal. However Sogawa teaches that it is known to include a synchronous signal generator for generating a synchronous signal (Col. 2, lines 41-58). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the image synthesis disclosed by Watkins to expressly indicate the use of a synchronous signal generator as taught by Sogawa because it is a well known methodology routinely implemented in the art to control synthesizing signals.

Regarding claim 3, Watkins discloses the second image synthesis processing device synthesizes a portion of the right infrared image data and the entire right visible light image data, and the third image synthesis processing device synthesizes a portion of the left infrared image data and the entire left visible light image data (Col. 2, lines 13-43).

Regarding claim 4, Watkins discloses including a monitor for displaying a right synthesis image and a left synthesis image in a prescribed order based on the right synthesis image data and the left synthesis image data which are output from the data output device (Figure 2; Col. 3, lines 14-22).

Regarding claim 6, the arguments analogous to those presented above for claim 2 are applicable to claim 6. Watkins disclose a data output device for outputting right infrared image

Art Unit: 2623

data output from the right infrared camera, right visible light image data output from the right visible light camera, left infrared image data output from the left infrared camera, and left visible light image data output from the left visible light camera in a prescribed order (Col. 2, lines 13-43; Figure 1).

5. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watkins et al. (6,597,807) in view of Sogawa (6,396,946) as applied to claim 4 above, and further in view of Freeman et al. (6,640,130).

Regarding claim 5, Watkins and Sogawa do not appear to recognize including a polarizer for polarizing the right synthesis image in a first direction and polarizing the left in a second direction different from the first. However, Freeman et al. ("Freeman") teaches that it is known to include a polarizer. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the right and left synthesis images disclosed by Watkins and Sogawa to include a polarizer as taught by Freeman because it enhances signatures for various targets.

6. Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watkins et al. (6,597,807) in view of Higuchi et al. (5,129,010).

Regarding claim 10, Watkins discloses a plurality of infrared cameras provided in a direction substantially perpendicular to a direction in which the infrared is directed toward a subject (Figure 1), an image synthesis processing device for synthesizing a plurality of thermal image data output from the plurality of thermal image data output from the plurality of infrared cameras so as to generate 3-D thermal image data (Col. 2, lines 13-43). Watkins does not recognize using a slit device including a plurality of slits and an infrared directing device for

Art Unit: 2623

directing infrared toward a subject through the slit device. However, Higuchi et al. ("Higuchi") discloses that it is known to use a slit device and an infrared directive device for directing infrared toward a subject through the slit device (Col. 1, lines 17-31). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the infrared disclosed by Watkins to include a slit device as taught by Higuchi because it is well known directing device routinely utilized in 3-D imaging.

Regarding claim 11, Watkins discloses the image synthesis processing device generates 3-D thermal image data based on a difference in shape between heat ray patterns reflected by the subject and respectively imaged by the plurality of infrared cameras (Col. 6, lines 39-46).

Other Prior Arts Cited

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- U.S. Pat. No. 6,219,462 to Anandan et al. for performing global image alignment using any local match measure;
 - U.S. Pat. No. 6,556,858 to Zeman for diffuse infrared light imaging system; and
- U.S. Pat. No. 5,124,915 to Krenzel for computer-aided data collection system for assisting in analyzing critical situations.

Art Unit: 2623

Contact Information

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Virginia M Kibler whose telephone number is (703) 306-4072. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 8:00 - 5:30 and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amelia Au can be reached on (703) 308-6604. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

V VK

3/12/04

MEHRDAD DASTOURI PRIMARY EXAMINER

Websdad Daston